

Hampton Roads Planning District Commission
Quarterly Commission Meeting
Minutes of April 21, 2011

The Quarterly Commission Meeting of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission was called to order at 9:35 a.m. at the Regional Boardroom, 723 Woodlake Drive, Chesapeake, Virginia, with the following in attendance:

COMMISSIONERS:

Stan D. Clark, Chairman (IW)	McKinley Price, D.DS (NN)
Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr. Vice Chairman (YK)	Sharon Scott (NN)*
James O. McReynolds, Treasurer (YK)	Marcus Jones(NO)*
Dr. Alan P. Krasnoff (CH)*	Thomas Smigiel (NO)
William E. Harrell (CH)	J. Randall Wheeler (PQ)
Dr. Ella Ward (CH)*	Kenneth Wright (PO)*
Greg McLemore (FR)	Selena Cuffee-Glenn (SU)
Brenda Garton (GL)	John Seward (SY)
Gregory Woodard (GL)*	Harry E. Diezel (VB)*
Mary Bunting (HA)	Robert M. Dyer (VB)
Molly Joseph Ward (HA)*	Barbara M. Henley (VB)
W. Douglas Caskey (IW)	Louis R. Jones (VB)
Bruce Goodson (JC)	James Spore (VB)
Robert Middaugh (JC)	Jackson C. Tuttle II (WM)
Neil A. Morgan (NN)	

Executive Director:

Dwight L. Farmer

*Late arrival or early departure.

ABSENT:

Amar Dwarkanath (CH), Clifton Hayes, (CH), June Fleming (FR), Ross A. Kearney (HA), Paul D. Fraim (NO), Anthony Burfoot (NO), Theresa Whibley, MD (NO), E. Eugene Hunt (PQ), Kenneth L. Chandler (PO), Michael W. Johnson (SH), Anita Felts (SH), Linda T. Johnson (SU), Tyrone W. Franklin (SY) John E. Uhrin (VB), Rita Sweet Belitto (VB), Clyde Haulman (WM)

OTHERS RECORDED ATTENDING:

Earl Sorey (CH); Jeff Raliski (NO); Albert Moor (SU); Tom Slaughter, Jerri Wilson (NN); Robert Matthias (VB); Sherri Neil (PO); Steven Hicks (JC); Dennis Heuer (VDOT); Leo Rutledge - RK&K; Randy Darden - Dewberry; Ellis James - Sierra Club Observer; Buddy Green - Poquoson City Council; Peter Huber - Wilcox & Savage; Kathy Aiello - Biggs & Fleet; Staff: John Carlock, Camelia Ravanbakht, Rick Case, Jennifer Coleman, Nancy Collins, Richard Flannery, Kathlene Grauberger, Greg Grootendorst, Julia Hillegass, Jim Hummer, Rob Jacobs, Whitney Katchmark, Sara Kidd, Jay McBride, Ben McFarlane, Kelli Peterson, Katie Rider, Tiffany Smith, Jennifer Tribo, Joe Turner and Chris Vaigneur.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

One person requested to address the Hampton Road Planning District Commission.

Ellis W. James

Thank you very much, Chairman Clark, members of the Commission. My name is Ellis W. James. I reside in Norfolk, Virginia, and I have done so for all of my life. Before I begin my remarks, I would like to extend my personal condolences to our friends and neighbors to the west of us who were so hard hit by the elements, we learned now that there were eleven tornado touch downs. I was very proud to learn that Hampton Roads PDC has helped to organize and consolidate the reactions of our local communities in assisting our friends and neighbors to the west of us. I would like to call something to your attention briefly. For those of you who did not see the Nova program on April 20th, I would highly recommend it to you. It is called power surge, and it had an excellent review of what we're confronted with both nationally as well as locally, and I think it would be very instructive. It was certainly instructive for me and I thought I was a pretty smart guy about these issues. The fact of the matter is that there is a good deal of information in there, not the least of which is about Salgeria, where they are now currently putting CO₂ into the ground and doing it obviously successfully. Mr. Chairman, a year ago yesterday, I stood before this commission calling attention to the tragedy that was beginning to unfold in the gulf. The Deep Water Horizon tragedy even I had no clue how serious and severe it would become. I just recently went to the library and pulled up the information. Sixty-two pages worth on what is popularly called down there the Macondo blowout. There is something happening now that alarms me greatly and that I would like to be sure that this Commission is fully apprised of and aware of. Going back a few years, there was a Congressman, Doc Hastings, who got too closely involved with and tied to Jack Abramoff. If you recall Jack Abramoff went to jail, to prison specifically. The congressman is now the chairman of one of the critical Commissions that deals with the question of offshore drilling. He is pushing three bills one of which is very very troubling to me personally and a lot of people that I know. It would in essence using layman's language, force the granting of a permit for offshore drilling within a period of 60 days if there is no action taken by the United States government. Now, whether you like what the United States government does or don't, the fact of the matter is that it is very important that we be able to assess what the impact of that is going to be on Virginia. Governor McDonnell and others have developed consortiums and efforts to try to develop our offshore winds, and as this Commission has been briefed, we have some of the best winds in the country offshore. We do not need in my humble opinion offshore drilling to come roaring through and interfere with that effort, and it certainly would do that. The force of these bills could greatly jeopardize our efforts to try to develop a good deal of our energy from clean energy as opposed to fossil fuels, and so I would urge the Commission and staff to please pay close attention to what is evolving and what's happening so that we can get a good handle on what the impact will be here. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Clark stated this concludes the public comment session.

(Commissioners E. Ward, G. Woodard and Mayor M. Ward arrive)

APPROVAL/MODIFICATION OF AGENDA

Chairman Clark asked if there were any changes to the agenda.

Mr. Farmer stated he would like to remove Item #11 from the consent agenda and have a brief presentation from Mr. Richard Flannery and add correspondence from the Secretary of National Resources to the HRPDC staff to Item #20, and add a New Business note concerning UASI Designation Funding to Item #22.

Chairman Clark asked for a motion to approve the amended agenda with noted changes.

Commissioner Jones Moved to approve the agenda as amended; seconded by Commissioner Garton. The Motion Carried.

Chairman Clark asked for a motion to approve the consent agenda with item #11 omitted.

Commissioner Smigiel Moved to approve the Consent Agenda with Item #11 omitted; seconded by Commissioner Seward. The Motion Carried.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Consent Agenda contained the following items:

Minutes of March 17, 2011 Meeting

Treasurer's Report

Regional Reviews

A. PNRS Items Review

VADEQ FY 12-13 Pollution Prevention Initiatives – Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

FY 2011-2012 Beach Monitoring in Virginia – Commonwealth of Virginia State Board of Health

Pinewood Heights Phase II Redevelopment Project – Town of Smithfield

B. Environmental Impact Assessment/Statement Review

Wild Duck Lane Property Acquisition, Virginia Port Authority

Warehouse E Newport News Marine Terminal, Virginia Port Authority

Norfolk & Portsmouth Belt Line Rail Yard Reconstruction, Virginia Port Authority

Mooring Upgrade to Pier I, Naval Station Norfolk, DoD/Department of the Navy

Demolition of Building C at Lafayette River Annex, Naval Station Norfolk, DoD Department of the Navy

Contract – City of Williamsburg Comprehensive Plan

State Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSGP) Fiscal Year 2011 Application

Donation Agreements for Shelter Support Units

Personnel Manual Updates and Revisions

(Commissioner M. Jones arrives.)

URBAN AREA SECURITY INITIATIVE CONTRACT – ANALYTICAL TECHNICAL SUPPORT

Chairman Clark stated Mr. Richard Flannery will present the Urban Area Security Initiative Contract – Analytical Technical Support.

Mr. Flannery stated staff is asking the Commission to authorize a contract with Old Dominion University's Virginia Model Analysis Simulation Center to provide analytical support for a homeland Security program known as the Urban Area Security Initiative. Over the last year they have provided support to help us in our selection process, as well as the overall process in improving our Homeland Security posture by providing and developing some instruments to help with our selection process for the grant programs and looking at all the requirements that UASI had to meet for the Department of Homeland Security for this program. There are a number of requirements UASIs have to meet and one of the things we tried to do was to make sure that UASIs are good stewards of the grant money that is provided in Hampton Roads. Using some of the instruments that ODU has developed for UASI over the last year allows UASI to make good selections for Homeland Security Initiatives here in Hampton Roads. ODU has provided pro bono work. In order to continue their support, UASI is going to need funding, and in order to do this UASI needs to utilize some of its grant funding. The UASI investment justifications last year for this grant included as a line item for analytical support in order to continue this process and make sure we are good stewards of the money. The Department of Homeland Security has recognized what UASI has done for Hampton Roads and asked HRPDC staff and VMASC to speak at the National Homeland Security Conference for the UASIs in June. One of the things that all the UASIs have to deal with is the downturn of the economy, how to sustain these initiatives and the capabilities and keep them in place in Hampton Roads. VMASC has been helping us with a sustainment model and looking at future initiatives to make sure we do not take on new initiatives or implement new capabilities that we cannot sustain. The Department of Homeland Security will be looking to VMASC this year to see if it is the best practice that can be implemented nationwide. That's why we are looking for the support.

Chairman Clark asked if there are any questions for Mr. Flannery.

(Mayor Wright arrives)

Commissioner Spore indicated he did not give any information on the cost of the contract.

Mr. Flannery stated the cost of the contract is \$20,000 and it would get two years of support from VMASC.

Commissioner Shepperd asked when does the Commission need to make a decision about this project and how are the funds used.

Mr. Flannery stated the funds are used to get analytical support from the homeland security section at VMASC. They provide support to the Department of Homeland Security as well as supporting Hampton Roads.

Mr. Shepperd asked if the funds are available.

Mr. Farmer stated it will be pass-through funds.

Commissioner Harrell Moved to authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract with the Old Dominion University Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Stimulation Center for support for the Urban Area Security Initiative; seconded by Commissioner Cuffee-Glenn. The Motion Carried.

BYLAWS AMENDMENT – SECOND READING

Chairman Clark stated in accordance with the HRPDC Bylaws any proposed amendments to the Bylaws must be presented in writing and read at any regular meeting of the Commission. The proposed amendment to the HRPDC Bylaws is to change the HRPDC meeting day to the third Thursday of each month. This was the second reading and final approval before implementation.

Commissioner McReynolds Moved to approve the Bylaws Amendment; seconded by Commissioner Tuttle. The Motion carried.

(Commissioner Scott arrives)

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AWARENESS WEEK – RESOLUTION

Mr. Farmer stated the Housing Roundtable is requesting the assistance of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission to sign a resolution to proclaim the last week of April as “Affordable Housing Awareness Week”. This effort has been adopted in other areas of Virginia where several community activities and events are planned to recognize the importance of affordable housing. This year’s Affordable Housing Awareness Week will take place during the week of April 24-30 2011.

Chairman Clark asked for a motion to support the resolution.

Commissioner Garton Moved to approve the resolution proclaiming the last week of April as Affordable Housing Awareness Week; seconded by Commissioner Cuffee-Glenn. The Motion carried.

(Mayor Krasnoff arrives.)

HAZARD MITIGATION PLANNING UPDATE

Chairman Clark stated Mr. Richard Flannery will present the Hazard Mitigation Planning Update.

Mr. Flannery stated he would give a brief update on Hazard Mitigation Plans. The HRPDC staff, in coordination with Franklin, Southampton County and Southside which includes staff representatives from the Cities of Portsmouth, Norfolk, Suffolk, and Virginia Beach along with the County of Isle of Wight, are in the process of updating their Hazard Mitigation Plans. Mr. Flannery indicated the Peninsula is in the process of updating its plan as well.

Mr. Flannery stated there is a ten step process with four phases to updating the hazard mitigation plans. Phase I involves getting organized, having public involvement, and coordinating with other departments and agencies. Phase II involves identifying the hazards to Hampton Roads and assessing the risks. Some additional hazards have been added such as mosquito borne disease and sea level rise. Landslides, extreme heat and sink holes were removed because we do not have those kinds of hazards in Hampton Roads. Flood is Hampton Roads number one hazard between hurricanes and tropical systems. Floods are looked at in four different parts: 1) risk to structures in regards to the hundred year flood event and a Category 3 storm surge; 2) critical facilities such as fire stations, police stations and EMS government facilities; 3) structure analysis; and 4) qualitative assessment.

Mr. Flannery stated the plans are primarily an update. We are not revising these plans comprehensively. FEMA requires plans to be updated every five years. The plans were adopted in 2006. The flood history was updated with the data from the National Climatic Data Center and there have been 17 new events since 2006. The National Climatic Data Center listed the November 2009 as a nor'easter because it is more flooding than wind which is typical for nor'easters. FEMA requires Hampton Roads to use as part of the model the One Hundred Year Flood Loss Estimate and updates for collecting data. The Plans had to include updates to the National Flood Insurance Program Insured Structural Analysis which is a sampling what flood insurance policies are in force, how many for each of these localities, as well as the insurance in force. We had to look at the repetitive loss properties, how many of those properties are constantly or continually having repetitive losses which are defined as two or more claims greater than \$1,000 to the national flood insurance program. Staff also looked at severe repetitive losses. Repetitive losses and severe repetitive losses are only those that are looked at through the National Flood Insurance Program which does not include those that do not have a claim against flood insurance programs. The update also includes a hurricanes and tropical storms section. There have been five new events since the plan was last adopted in 2006. Another thing provided for in the update is maps were used to show recent storms instead of pictures. A Probability Risk Index Model is used to look at the qualitative assessment for these different hazards. They are based on the probability of the event, the impact of the event, spatial area how large of an area does it extend to, as well as the warning time and duration of the different

types of hazards. We are updating the tornado data in these plans as well. We have already completed this part and will be adding the tornadoes that happened in April 2011.

Mr. Flannery stated the National Weather Service and the Virginia Department of Emergency Management will make sure they are accurate, as well as make sure the correct information is in these plans once they are updated. This is not an emergency response plan or an emergency management responsibility; this is a community plan that looks to mitigate hazard in a community. The next steps are developing goals and objectives for mitigation plans for the next five years. The Southside, Franklin and Southampton County will be reviewing other capabilities and assessments and begin working on goals and objectives for the mitigation plan. The goal is to have both reports completed in the month of July. The reports will then be sent to the Virginia Department of Emergency Management for approval, and once they are approved; the reports will be sent to FEMA for final approval. After final approval, HRDPC staff will come back to the Commission for adoption by the communities.

Chairman Clark asked for questions for Mr. Flannery.

Commissioner McLemore asked why extreme temperature was not included in the plan.

Mr. Flannery stated the previous plans had extreme temperature and the language was focused on heat, there was a title change to extreme heat versus extreme temperature.

Commissioner Diezel stated he was not sure he agreed with the critical facility's definition, although he understood. As long as we are dependent on tower to tower communication relays under catastrophic conditions, no one in this room can function as an elected official trying to tie your communities together until that communication system is restored; unless you have satellite capability. It would be interesting even if it does not go to FEMA, if this particular group found that as an appendix those three items because I know Dominion Power has a pretty sophisticated one. I think you would be surprised at their view of restoring and/or responding as it may or may not fit in the community process that you are advocating and he did agree with the community process. They are vital links and not to have them included if for no other reason the education of this panel in terms of your multiple jurisdiction representation is very important.

Mr. Flannery stated they had invited those entities to participate. We have had some participation although not a lot from those different critical facilities.

Commissioner Diezel stated a lot of their plans are a matter of public record so even if they are reluctant to come to the talks, we can solicit the plans from them to look at.

Mr. Farmer stated that we would come back and do a follow up report.

(Commissioner Diezel departs)

Commissioner Shepperd stated he attended a meeting at Sandy Bottom Park in Hampton and there was a briefing on sea level rise hosted by the Sierra Club and present was a Doctor from NASA who made the presentation and he wanted to point out that Mr. John Carlock and Ben McFarlane were there and Mr. McFarlane gave a great presentation. He wanted the Commission to know that the HRPDC staff is doing their job and helping out. If you have not seen the briefing you need to. The stunning part was the irreversible aspect of sea level rise and the impact here which falls into the flooding. This plan is going to be very important.

Commissioner McLemore stated the report deals with natural hazards if there is a problem with surge, but have we included in the plans if there is a problem in Surry and perhaps consider what type of plans in case of there is a problem.

Mr. Flannery stated there are plans for the nuclear power plant. They are primarily at the state level. The state does an exercise every year called VOPEX, which usually occurs in July, where they do exercises in preparation for some sort of incident at the nuclear power plant. In the hazard mitigation plans, a page was included in regard to radiological emergencies and making reference to those plans.

Mr. Farmer stated the sea level rise and climate change will be back on the agenda in May.

VIRGINIA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM PERMIT REGULATIONS

Chairman Clark welcomed Marcus Jones, City Manager for Norfolk.

Chairman Clark stated Ms. Jenny Tribo will present Virginia Stormwater Management Program Permit Regulations and indicated there was handout for Ms. Tribo's presentation that was not part of the agenda packet.

Mr. Farmer stated the handout contains a letter that is referred to in the packet. It was developed after the packet was mailed and as Ms. Tribo makes her remarks, you will see a strong correlation with her presentation and the letter.

Ms. Tribo stated she would be giving an update on revisions to the Virginia Stormwater Management Program Regulations. These regulations have been under revision since 2005 with the transfer of the program from the Department of Environmental Quality to the Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). Three years ago, the DCR published its second Notice of Intended Regulatory Action (NOIRA) to revise the regulations. She stated first she would talk about the history and schedule and high point of the regulations and HRPDC comments and the letter.

Ms. Tribo indicated in 2009, the regulations went out for public comment and there was significant concern regarding how the regulations were going to be implemented and the impact they would have on development. At the end of 2009, the Board approved the regulations and put them out for public comment and then suspended the regulations. The General Assembly took action in 2010 and passed legislation that would delay the

stormwater regulations until the Chesapeake Bay TMDL was completed and directed the Department of Conservation and Recreation to revise the stormwater regulations within 280 days of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and to establish a regulatory advisory panel to make those revisions. Last July, that regulatory advisory panel started meeting to revise the regulations and over the last nine months, they met to develop new regulations that incorporate the Chesapeake Bay TMDL requirements.

Ms. Tribo stated a couple years ago there were concerns with the regulations having a high cost for redevelopment, the time line for implementation was too short and the HRPDC staff thought the local governments were going to have concerns in developing their programs. There was no grandfathering for Master Plans local program criteria were too prescriptive. The localities felt the local program criteria in order to adopt and implement the program were too strict and did not allow flexibility to the localities. Much of the associated documentation for the regulations for the specifications for the stormwater management facilities had not been updated and was not available for review prior to approval of regulations. There have been some major changes and improvements to the regulations proposed: the implementation date will be July 21, 2014; statewide phosphorus standard for water quality will be 0.41 pounds per acre per year; additional grandfathering provisions; and greater local program flexibility. The state has added some effluent limit guidelines for construction activity based on recent activity from the Environmental Protection Agency.

Public comment ends April 29, 2011; Soil Water Conservation Board is expected to adopt regulations in May 2011; October 2011 will be the effective date of the regulations to coincide with the requirement to have the regulations in place within 280 days of the TMDL approval; and the implementation will coincide with the reissuance of the general construction permit on July 1, 2014. The regulations for water criteria were to create a statewide standard to protect local water quality from development and also have a standard for the Chesapeake Bay Watershed that would meet the TMDL. The committee came up with a statewide standard .41 pounds per acre per year based on linkage between impervious cover and water quality impacts. The previous proposed standard was .45 statewide and in addition to .28 in Chesapeake Bay Watershed, based solely on information from Chesapeake Bay Model and Chesapeake Bay Program.

Ms. Tribo stated with this revision, the advisory panel had agreed this was a good way to move forward and protects local water quality and it meets the Chesapeake Bay TMDL and Virginia Watershed Implementation Plan requirement. She stated by meeting one of the following criteria one can be grandfathered until June 30, 2019. If you receive a Virginia Stormwater Management Program permit (VSMP) prior to July 1, 2014; be part of a common plan of development for sale that has obtained VSMP general permit coverage prior to July 1, 2014; or have the site plan approved by the locality where they certify that you meet the current regulations by the effective date; or have obligation for funding of your project this is something new that the DCR instituted with this revision that allows issuing permits starting with the current construction permit that is in effect right now and permits can be renewed for a additional two permit cycles which is ten years. The technical criteria implementation date is July, 1, 2014. However, there is inconsistency with the

current Stormwater Act. It was revised based on previous regulations that required the local programs to be in place by July 2013. The local programs will be implemented through local government ordinance - the prescriptive elements in the regulations will be removed and DCR will issue the permit and have oversight of the program. Effluent limit guidelines were mentioned at the December 2010 meeting. EPA published its final rule for effluent guidelines for construction and state programs that run the construction program are required to incorporate this into their stormwater regulations, it adds requirements to the construction site for their Pollution Prevention Plan, and it specifically requires them to control volume and velocity, minimize soil erosion, minimize the surface of steep slopes, maintain natural buffers, minimize soil compaction and preserve top soil.

Ms. Tribo indicated in terms of the comment letter, the localities' stormwater and planning staffs have reviewed the regulations and discussed their major comments and concerns, and HRPDC staff developed this letter based on those comments and discussions. The effluent limit guidelines that DCR chose to incorporate verbatim from EPA's language are very big and the terms are not defined. Local governments feel they will have to interpret permit applications and not have adequate guidance on whether the localities are meeting the regulations because the regulations are too big. The grandfather language with the additions of the two permit cycles for the general permit, could allow projects to be grandfathered until 2029 and we do not think this was the intent of the provision. HRPDC staff is asking DCR to take a closer look at that. We think it needs to be grandfathered, but twenty years seems too generous. HRPDC staff asked DCR to look at the inconsistency between the proposed regulations that would have local programs in place by July 1, 2014, versus the existing Stormwater Management Act that requires them to have them by July 2013. Also, localities are going to need financial and technical assistance to get these programs in place. There needs to be a partnership between the state and local governments in the next several years. There are some smaller technical issues concerning the definitions for the grandfathering criteria that were removed and need to be replaced. Also, there needs to be some provisions for efficiencies for stormwater management practices for pollutants other than phosphorus, and there is an issue with the date; what is appropriate for the design specifications for the clearinghouse. HRPDC staff is also asking DCR to coordinate and define procedures for oversight of local programs and for them to provide model ordinance and program development guidance.

Ms. Tribo stated the recommendation is to authorize the HRPDC Executive Director to submit the comment letter to DCR based on the concerns presented.

Commissioner Harrell asked the way grandfathering is currently stated is it saying after June 30, 2019 we have to meet the new standards based on the way it is currently configured?

Ms. Tribo stated the way the grandfathering is written if you have your permit now and it expired in 2019 and you did not have it renewed, any further construction activity would have to meet the new regulations.

Commissioner Harrell asked if the project is complete.

Ms. Tribo stated there are provisions to have your permit renewed for an additional two permit cycles. Depending on when you get your permit, it could be extended to 2029 and then you would have to meet the new criteria if you were still developing past that point.

Commissioner Goodson asked under redevelopment you still having to improve by ten percent and are we going to get credit for that under the TMDL Program, or is there a baseline.

Ms. Tribo stated it will be credited. The way reductions are set in the TMDL, it requires the MS4 locality to reduce a certain amount and the percent reduction that comes from redevelopment will be credited for that amount.

Chairman Clark asked is this based for developers and does this mean bigger and better BMP impervious requirements.

Ms. Tribo stated bigger and better BMPs and minimization of impervious cover and different design layouts.

Commissioner Shepperd Moved to authorize HRPDC Executive Director to submit the comment letter to DCR based on the concerns presented in the draft letter; seconded by Commissioner Price. The Motion carried.

HRPDC ACTION ITEMS: THREE MONTH TENTATIVE SCHEDULE

Chairman Clark indicated the HRPDC staff developed a tentative schedule for issues that will come before the Commission for action over the next three months.

PROJECT STATUS REPORT

No questions or comments.

CORRESPONDENCE OF INTEREST

Mr. Farmer stated there is a letter from the Secretary of National Resources asking Ms. Tribo to sit on a Stakeholder Advisory Group, which is a group looking at reducing loads in the Chesapeake Bay.

Chairman Clark asked if there was any response to our request to have more Commission members on the committee.

Mr. Farmer stated it is an acknowledgment that the HRPDC through Ms. Tribo does have expertise that can add to the dialogue.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

Chairman Clark indicated there was one new business item for review.

Mr. Farmer stated the HRPDC staff has developed a letter for submittal to the Hampton Roads Congressional Delegation and Governor McDonnell in support of maintaining the existing designation criteria and funding levels to support the UASI Program. HRPDC staff is asking approval to authorize the Chairman to sign the attached letter to the Hampton Roads Delegation and Governor concerning designation of funding for the Hampton Roads Urban Areas Security Initiative Program.

Commissioner Shepperd Moved to authorize the Chairman to sign the letter to the Hampton Roads Congressional Delegation and the Governor about the UASI designation and funding; seconded by Commissioner Garton. The Motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission, the meeting adjourned at 10:30 a.m.

Stan D. Clark
Chairman

Dwight L. Farmer
Executive Director/Secretary