

*Financial Statements*  
*Years Ended*  
*June 30, 2011 and 2010*



**DIXON HUGHES GOODMAN** LLP  
Certified Public Accountants and Advisors

# *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

## *Contents*

	<b>Page</b>
<i>Report of Independent Auditors</i>	1 - 2
<i>Management's Discussion and Analysis</i>	3 - 5
<i>Financial Statements</i>	
<i>Statements of Net Assets</i>	6
<i>Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets</i>	7
<i>Statements of Cash Flows</i>	8
<i>Notes to Financial Statements</i>	9 - 20
<i>Compliance Section</i>	
<i>Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance     and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements     Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards</i>	21 - 22
<i>Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and     Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over     Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133</i>	23 - 24
<i>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards</i>	25
<i>Summary Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs</i>	26



**DIXON HUGHES GOODMAN** LLP  
Certified Public Accountants and Advisors

## *Report of Independent Auditors*

Board of Directors

*Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

We have audited the accompanying statement of net assets of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission* as of June 30, 2011, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's* management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements as of June 30, 2010, were audited by Goodman & Company, LLP, who merged into Dixon Hughes Goodman, LLP as of April 1, 2011, and whose report dated September 21, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and *Specifications for Audits of Authorities, Boards, and Commissions* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Those standards and specifications require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission* as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 26, 2011 on our consideration of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's* internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and important for assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 – 5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in

the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission* taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of American. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

*Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP*

Norfolk, Virginia  
September 26, 2011

## *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

### *Management's Discussion and Analysis*

---

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's (Commission) activities and financial performance provides the reader with an introduction and overview to the financial statements of the Commission for the year ended June 30, 2011. The information contained in this MD&A should be considered in conjunction with the financial statements and various historic summaries of activities and financial performance included in the basic financial statements following.

In the fall of 2008, the Commission was reorganized to better reflect the efforts of the transportation staff in performing the planning, technical, and administrative duties of the regional Metropolitan Planning Organization in accordance with regulations as determined by the US Department of Transportation and the Virginia Department of Transportation. These duties were organized into a new and separate function entitled Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO). This new function has two memorandums of understanding between the HRTPO and the Commission. The first addresses the concept that the Commission "shall provide the planning and administrative staff to the HRTPO" and all duties thereof. The second addresses the concept that the HRTPO "desires that the Commission serve as fiscal agent for the HRTPO" and all duties thereof. In this capacity, the Financial Statements of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission cover all the activities involved in administering the financial aspects of the Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization.

The following tables present the financial condition and operations of the Commission for the three years ending June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009. The *Statements of Net Assets* include the current cash and long-term capital assets of the Commission. The *Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets* contain all of the years' revenues and expenses. The *Statements of Changes in Net Assets* further delineate the areas of fiduciary responsibility within the net assets category.

#### **Statements of Net Assets**

	2011	2010	2009
<b>Assets</b>			
Current assets	\$ 4,878,596	\$ 4,701,255	\$ 4,029,652
Capital assets – net of accumulated depreciation	1,388,354	1,543,565	1,554,798
Other assets - investments	300,354	400,761	198,927
	<u>\$ 6,567,304</u>	<u>\$ 6,645,581</u>	<u>\$ 5,783,377</u>
<b>Liabilities and Net Assets</b>			
Current liabilities	\$ 1,077,683	\$ 1,202,482	\$ 918,971
Other liabilities:			
Accrued post retirement benefit liability	438,731	279,948	139,948
<b>Net assets</b>	<u>5,050,890</u>	<u>5,163,151</u>	<u>4,724,458</u>
	<u>\$ 6,567,304</u>	<u>\$ 6,645,581</u>	<u>\$ 5,783,377</u>

## Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets

	2011	2010	2009
<b>Operating revenues</b>			
Local	\$ 3,488,223	\$ 3,725,803	\$ 4,137,442
State (including federal pass-through)	5,985,203	8,341,341	4,013,794
Total operating revenues	9,473,426	12,067,144	8,151,236
<b>Operating expenses</b>			
Personnel	\$ 4,044,294	\$ 3,984,131	\$ 3,858,882
Pass-through and special contract expenses	4,927,524	7,086,075	3,586,047
Transportation pass-through expenses	274,637	370,822	343,497
Office services	417,736	465,567	383,252
Total operating expenses	9,664,191	11,906,595	8,171,678
<b>Operating income (loss) before depreciation</b>	(190,765)	160,549	(20,442)
<b>Depreciation</b>	160,902	158,337	137,370
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	(351,667)	2,212	(157,812)
<b>Contributions, assessments and miscellaneous Non-operating revenues</b>	239,406	436,481	420,076
<b>Change in net assets</b>	\$ (112,261)	\$ 438,693	\$ 262,264

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	2011	2010	2009
<b>Net assets</b>			
Invested in capital assets - net of related debt	\$ 1,388,354	\$ 1,543,565	\$ 1,554,798
Unrestricted:			
Commission designated	1,338,933	1,325,208	459,692
Unrestricted for Commission activities	2,323,603	2,294,378	2,709,968
<b>Total unrestricted</b>	3,662,536	3,619,586	3,169,660
<b>Net Assets</b>	\$ 5,050,890	\$ 5,163,151	\$ 4,724,458

## Financial Highlights

Overall revenues, and expenditures, were down over \$2.5M mainly due to the completion of the FRAC program. This was a Homeland Security initiative entitled "First Responder Authentication Credential."

The \$351,667 operating loss indicated in the above schedule is a result of grant expenditures late in June that were billed to the funding agencies after the year end closing on June 30, 2011.

The \$237,000 shortfall in Local Operating Revenues shown on the first line of the above schedule is a result of decreases in matching funding required for several programs in both transportation and physical planning. Local governments still pay \$.82 per capita in membership dues, \$.20 per capita toward the Metropolitan Medical Response System Sustainment program, and approximately \$.03 per capita for the Regional Construction Standards program. The remaining local jurisdiction contributions are for various water and storm water programs within Physical and Environmental Planning.

The financial statements of the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (Commission) for the year ended June 30, 2011 indicate a \$42,950 increase in assets in the total unrestricted net assets of the Commission (see the Statement of Changes in Net Assets).

This overall increase can be attributed to reimbursement for expenses incurred in prior fiscal years.

The liability for compensated balances increased this year by \$34,995, mainly due to no new retirement nor longtime employee terminations.

While the total unrestricted net assets increased by \$42,950, the portion of the unrestricted reserve that is not commission designated increased by \$29,225, to \$2,323,603, thus giving the Commission more funding for unanticipated projects in future periods. This increase was mainly due to continuing the Commission Designated Reserves, to be used to offset future needs, as well as the continuance of setting aside reserves for OPEB as required under GASB 45. The Reserves' increase was almost completely offset by a reduction in Agency Funded program balances.

Please note that the Commission is now required to report post retirement liabilities under GASB Statement No. 45: *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions* (OPEB). This Statement requires that the Commission recognize the cost of the retiree health subsidy during the period of employees' active employment, while the benefits are being earned, and disclose the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to accurately account for the total future cost of post-employment benefits and the financial impact on the Commission. An actuarial study was conducted in 2009 and again in 2011, and as a result, this liability has been established at amounts designated by the study. *Please see footnote 9 for more details.*

#### **Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets**

This statement details the \$112,261 net decrease in total net assets.

#### **Statements of Changes in Net Assets**

This last statement details the various categories available within the Commission's net assets. Most of this increase is a result of revenues received for expenditures that were expensed in prior fiscal years.

#### **Requests for Information:**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens with a general overview of the Commission's finances and to demonstrate the Commission's accountability for the money it receives. Questions concerning this report or requests for additional information should be directed to: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission, Chief Financial Officer, 723 Woodlake Drive, Chesapeake, Virginia 23320.

*Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

*Statements of Net Assets*

<b>June 30,</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,013,799	\$ 1,778,979
Accounts receivable	1,104,033	1,348,879
Other current assets:		
Prepaid expenses	22,124	9,577
Investments	1,738,640	1,563,820
Total current assets	<u>4,878,596</u>	<u>4,701,255</u>
<b>Capital assets - net of accumulated depreciation</b>	1,388,354	1,543,565
<b>Other assets</b>		
Investments	<u>300,354</u>	<u>400,761</u>
	<u>\$ 6,567,304</u>	<u>\$ 6,645,581</u>
<b>Liabilities and Net Assets</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 405,334	\$ 579,342
Compensated absences	582,805	547,810
Contracts payable	79,282	67,440
Other current liabilities	10,262	7,890
Total current liabilities	<u>1,077,683</u>	<u>1,202,482</u>
<b>Other liabilities</b>		
Accrued post-retirement benefit liability	<u>438,731</u>	<u>279,948</u>
<b>Net assets</b>		
Invested in capital assets - net of related debt	<u>1,388,354</u>	<u>1,543,565</u>
Unrestricted:		
Unrestricted	2,323,603	2,294,378
Unrestricted - commission designated	1,338,933	1,325,208
Total unrestricted net assets	<u>3,662,536</u>	<u>3,619,586</u>
Total net assets	<u>5,050,890</u>	<u>5,163,151</u>
	<u>\$ 6,567,304</u>	<u>\$ 6,645,581</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**Hampton Roads Planning District Commission**

**Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets**

<b>Years Ended June 30,</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Operating revenues</b>		
Local:		
Contributions by participating jurisdictions	\$ 1,342,562	\$ 1,346,170
Contract revenue	1,817,327	2,051,299
MMRS Local Assessment	328,334	328,334
	<u>3,488,223</u>	<u>3,725,803</u>
State (including federal pass-through):		
Virginia Department of Transportation	1,999,107	2,061,276
Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development Loan Funds	45,900	300,499
Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development State Allocation to the PDC	132,124	228,492
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality	120,034	114,668
Williamsburg Area Transit	10,000	10,000
Virginia Department of Emergency Management - UASI	1,778,335	1,672,088
Virginia Department of Emergency Management - MMRS	997,466	937,019
Virginia Department of Emergency Management - other	888,676	3,019,436
Virginia Department of Rehabilitative Services	13,561	(2,137)
	<u>5,985,203</u>	<u>8,341,341</u>
Total operating revenues	<u>9,473,426</u>	<u>12,067,144</u>
<b>Operating expenses</b>		
Personnel	4,044,294	3,984,131
Passthrough and special contract expenses	4,927,524	7,086,075
Transportation passthrough expenses	274,637	370,822
Office services	417,736	465,567
Total operating expenses	<u>9,664,191</u>	<u>11,906,595</u>
<b>Operating income (loss) before depreciation</b>	(190,765)	160,549
<b>Depreciation</b>	160,902	158,337
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	<u>(351,667)</u>	<u>2,212</u>
<b>Nonoperating revenues</b>		
Interest income	16,910	21,407
Unrealized gain/(loss) on investments	(587)	5,792
Contributions, assessments and miscellaneous non-operating revenues	223,083	409,282
Total nonoperating revenues	<u>239,406</u>	<u>436,481</u>
<b>Change in net assets</b>	(112,261)	438,693
<b>Net assets - beginning of year</b>	<u>5,163,151</u>	<u>4,724,458</u>
<b>Net assets - end of year</b>	<u>\$ 5,050,890</u>	<u>\$ 5,163,151</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

# Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

## Statements of Cash Flows

<b>Years Ended June 30,</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Cash receipts from localities and grants	\$ 9,718,272	\$ 11,531,325
Cash payments to suppliers	(5,792,238)	(7,644,794)
Cash payments to employees	(3,850,516)	(3,834,570)
<b>Net cash from operating activities</b>	<u>75,518</u>	<u>51,961</u>
<b>Cash flows from capital and related financing activities</b>		
Contributions, assessments and miscellaneous non-operating revenues	223,083	409,282
Acquisition of capital assets	(5,691)	(147,104)
	<u>217,392</u>	<u>262,178</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Interest received	16,910	21,407
Purchases of investments	(75,000)	(525,183)
<b>Net cash from investing activities</b>	<u>(58,090)</u>	<u>(503,776)</u>
<b>Net change in cash and cash equivalents</b>	234,820	(189,637)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year</b>	<u>1,778,979</u>	<u>1,968,616</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents - end of year</b>	<u>\$ 2,013,799</u>	<u>\$ 1,778,979</u>
<b>Reconciliation of change in net assets to cash from operations</b>		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (351,667)	\$ 2,212
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation	160,902	158,337
Change in:		
Accounts receivable	244,846	(535,819)
Prepaid expenses	(12,547)	3,720
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(174,008)	259,126
Compensated absences	34,995	9,561
Contracts payable	11,842	16,623
Other current liabilities	2,372	(1,799)
Accrued post-retirement benefit liability	158,783	140,000
	<u>\$ 75,518</u>	<u>\$ 51,961</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

# *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

## *Notes to Financial Statements*

---

**June 30, 2011 and 2010**

---

### **1. Organization and Nature of Business**

Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (Commission) is a regional planning agency authorized by the Virginia Area Development Act of 1968 and created by the merger of the Southeastern Virginia Planning District Commission and the Peninsula Planning District Commission on July 1, 1990. The Commission performs various planning services for the cities of Chesapeake, Franklin, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Poquoson, Suffolk, Williamsburg and Virginia Beach, and the Counties of Gloucester, Isle of Wight, James City, Southampton, Surry and York. Revenues of the Commission are received primarily from local government (member) contributions and various state and federal grant programs.

In the fall of 2008, the Commission was reorganized to better reflect efforts of the transportation staff in performing the planning, technical, and administrative duties of the regional Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in accordance with regulations as determined by the Federal Highway Administration and the Virginia Department of Transportation. These duties were organized into a new function entitled Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO). HRTPO has two Memorandums of Understanding with the Commission. The first addresses the concept that the Commission “shall provide the planning and administrative staff to HRTPO” and all duties thereof. The second addresses the concept that HRTPO “desires that the Commission serve as fiscal agent for HRTPO” and all duties thereof. In this capacity, the audited financial statements of the Commission cover all the activities involved in administering the financial aspects of HRTPO.

### **2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

#### **Reporting Entity**

The Commission’s governing body is composed of various members appointed by each of the sixteen participating jurisdictions. These governmental entities have an ongoing financial responsibility to the Commission because its continued existence depends on the continued funding by the participants. The Commission is perpetual and no participating government has access to its resources or surpluses, nor is any participant liable for the Commission’s debt or deficits.

The Commission is not a component unit of any of the participating governments. There are no component units to be included in the Commission’s financial statements.

## **Basis of Accounting**

The Commission utilizes the economic resources management focus and the accrual basis of accounting in preparing its financial statements. Under the accrual method, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred. The Commission has adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 20, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities that Use Proprietary Fund Accounting*. The Commission has elected not to apply Financial Accounting Standards Board pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989, as allowed by GASB Statement No. 20.

The Statement of Net Assets presents the Commission's assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as net assets. Net assets are categorized into three components:

**Invested in capital assets - net of related debt** - represents the Commission's total investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation reduced by outstanding balances for bonds, notes and other debt that are attributed to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.

**Restricted net assets** - result when constraints placed on net asset use are either externally imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

**Unrestricted net assets** - consist of net assets which do not meet the definition of the two preceding categories.

## **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Commission includes all cash accounts not subject to withdrawal restrictions or penalties and all highly liquid debt investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less as cash and cash equivalents in the accompanying statement of net assets.

## **Accounts Receivable**

The Commission considers all accounts receivable to be fully collectible; accordingly, no allowance is required at June 30, 2011 and 2010. Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivables are limited due to the number of grantors, many of which are federal government grants.

## **Capital Assets**

Capital assets are recorded at cost. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Building and improvements	40 years
Office furniture and equipment	5 years
Automobiles	5 years

Maintenance and ordinary repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures greater than \$5,000 which materially increase values, change capacities, or extend useful lives are capitalized.

## **Investments**

The Commission accounts for investments at fair value.

## **Advertising**

The Commission expenses advertising costs as they are incurred. Advertising expense for 2011 and 2010 was \$12,816 and \$7,775, respectively.

## **Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities for the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

## **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The Commission's annual budget is a management tool that assists users in analyzing financial activity for its June 30 fiscal year. The Commission's primary funding sources are federal and state grants and local subsidies, which have periods that may or may not coincide with the Commission's fiscal year. These grants and subsidies are normally for a twelve-month period; however, they may be awarded for periods shorter or longer than twelve months.

Because of the Commission's dependency on federal, state and local budgetary decisions, revenue estimates are based upon the best available information as to potential sources of funding. The Commission's annual budget differs from that of a local government due to the uncertain nature of grant awards from other entities.

The resultant annual budget is subject to constant change within the fiscal year due to:

- Increases/decreases in actual grant awards from those estimated;
- Unanticipated grant awards not included in the budget; and
- Expected grant awards that fail to materialize.

The Commissioners formally approve the annual budget in April, before the fiscal year begins. Due to grant expirations and new awards, amendments are made in November and May of each year.

## **Subsequent Events**

In preparing these financial statements, the Commission has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through September 26, 2011, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

### 3. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

#### Deposits

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the carrying amount of the Commission's deposits with banks was \$14,602 and \$206,191, respectively, and the bank balances were \$483,939 and \$595,767, respectively. Deposits are covered by the Virginia Security for Public Deposits Act (the Act) at June 30, 2010. The entire bank balance was covered by FDIC at June 30, 2011. Under the Act, banks holding public deposits in excess of the amounts insured by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) must pledge collateral in the amount of 50% of excess deposits to a collateral pool in the name of the State Treasury Board. Savings and loan institutions are required to collateralize 100% of deposits in excess of FDIC limits. The State Treasury Board is responsible for monitoring compliance with the collateralization and reporting requirements of the Act and for notifying local governments of compliance by banks and savings and loans. If any member financial institution fails, the entire collateral becomes available to satisfy the claims of the Commission. If the value of the pool's collateral is inadequate to cover a loss, additional amounts would be assessed on a pro-rata basis to the members (banks and savings and loans) of the pool. Therefore, these deposits are considered collateralized and, as a result, are considered insured.

\$1,999,072 and \$1,572,663 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were invested in a U.S. government money market mutual fund. These investments are covered by the investment firm's (Scott & Stringfellow's) insured deposit program which consists of monies held in non-interest bearing deposit accounts at multiple banking institutions. These assets are eligible for FDIC coverage up to \$250,000 per depositor per institution per category. The U.S. government money market fund is a money market mutual fund that owns U.S. government securities and repurchase agreements that are collateralized by U.S. government securities. The fund meets all investment guidelines under the Code of Virginia and is an eligible investment under the Code of Virginia Investment Guidelines. Cash and cash equivalents, as represented on the statements of net assets, includes petty cash of \$125 at June 30, 2011 and 2010.

#### Investments

##### *Investment Policy*

Statutes authorize local governments and other public bodies to invest in obligations of the United States or agencies thereof, obligations of the Commonwealth of Virginia or political subdivisions thereof, obligations of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (World Bank), the Asian Development Bank, the African Development Bank, "prime quality" commercial paper and certain corporate notes, banker's acceptances, repurchase agreements and the State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP). At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Commission had an investment of \$737,150 and \$735,623, respectively, in the LGIP.

##### *Concentration of Credit Risk*

The Policy establishes limitations on portfolio composition by issuer in order to control concentration of credit risk. No more than 5% of the Commission's portfolio will be invested in the securities of any one issuer with the exception of: (1) the U.S. government or Agencies thereof, (2) fully insured/collateralized certificates of deposit or repurchase agreements that are collateralized by the U.S. government or Agencies thereof, and (3) mutual funds whereby the portfolio is limited to U.S. government or Agency securities.

### Interest Rate Risk

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Commission had the following investments:

Investment Type	Investment Maturities (in Years) as of June 30, 2011				
	Fair Value	Less Than			More
		1	1 - 5	6 - 10	Than 10
Money market – Virginia					
LGIP	\$ 737,150	\$ 737,150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fixed income bonds - various	1,301,844	1,001,490	300,354	-	-
	<u>\$ 2,038,994</u>	<u>\$ 1,738,640</u>	<u>\$ 300,354</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Investment Type	Investment Maturities (in Years) as of June 30, 2010				
	Fair Value	Less Than			More
		1	1 - 5	6 - 10	Than 10
Money market – Virginia					
LGIP	\$ 735,623	\$ 735,623	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fixed income bonds - various	1,128,896	828,197	300,699	-	-
U.S. government bonds	100,062	-	100,062	-	-
	<u>\$ 1,964,581</u>	<u>\$ 1,563,820</u>	<u>\$ 400,761</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The Commission is exposed to little interest rate risk since all investments had fixed interest rates at June 30, 2011 and 2010.

#### 4. Capital Assets

Summary of capital assets is as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2010	Increases	Decreases	Balance June 30, 2011
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 80,621	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,621
<b>Total capital assets not being depreciated at historical cost</b>	<u>80,621</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>80,621</u>
Other capital assets:				
Building and improvements	2,175,652	5,691	-	2,181,343
Office furniture and equipment	835,293	-	(3,656)	831,637
Automobiles	76,886	-	-	76,886
<b>Total other capital assets at historical cost</b>	<u>3,087,831</u>	<u>5,691</u>	<u>(3,656)</u>	<u>3,089,866</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Building and improvements	(930,299)	(72,931)	-	(1,003,230)
Office furniture and equipment	(628,635)	(83,871)	3,656	(708,850)
Automobiles	(65,953)	(4,100)	-	(70,053)
<b>Total accumulated depreciation</b>	<u>(1,624,887)</u>	<u>(160,902)</u>	<u>3,656</u>	<u>(1,782,133)</u>
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	<u>1,462,944</u>	<u>(155,211)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,307,733</u>
<b>Capital assets - net</b>	<u>\$ 1,543,565</u>	<u>\$ (155,211)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,388,354</u>

## 5. Retirement Plans

### Defined Benefit Pension Plan

#### Plan Description

Name of Plan:	Virginia Retirement System (VRS)
Identification of Plan:	Agent and Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan
Administering Entity:	Virginia Retirement System

All full-time, salaried permanent (professional) employees of public school divisions and employees of participating employers are automatically covered by VRS upon employment. Benefits vest after five years of service credit. Members earn one month of service credit each month they are employed and their employer is paying into the VRS. Members are eligible to purchase prior public service, active duty military service, certain periods of leave and previously refunded VRS service as credit in their plan.

VRS administers two defined benefit plans for local government employees - Plan 1 and Plan 2:

- Members hired before July 1, 2010 and who have service credits before July 1, 2010 are covered under Plan 1. Non-hazardous duty members are eligible for an unreduced retirement benefit beginning at age 65 with at least five years of service credit or age 50 with at least 30 years of service credit. They may retire with a reduced benefit early at age 55 with at least 10 years of service credit or age 50 with at least five years of service credit.
- Members hired or rehired on or after July 1, 2010 and who have no service credits before July 1, 2010 are covered under Plan 2. Non-hazardous duty members are eligible for an unreduced benefit beginning at their normal Social Security retirement age with at least five years of service credit or when the sum of their age and service equals 90. They may retire with a reduced benefit as early as age 60 with at least five years of service credit.
- Eligible hazardous duty members in Plan 1 and Plan 2 are eligible for an unreduced benefit beginning at age 60 with at least 5 years of service credit or age 50 with at least 25 years of service credit. These members include sheriffs, deputy sheriffs and hazardous duty employees of political subdivisions that have elected to provide enhanced coverage for hazardous duty service. They may retire with a reduced benefit as early as age 50 with at least five years of service credit. All other provisions of the member's plan apply.

The VRS Basic Benefit is a lifetime monthly benefit based on a retirement multiplier as a percentage of the member's average final compensation multiplied by the member's total service credit. Under Plan 1, average final compensation is the average of the member's 36 consecutive months of highest compensation. Under Plan 2, average final compensation is the average of the member's 60 consecutive months of highest compensation. The retirement multiplier for non-hazardous duty members is 1.70%. The retirement multiplier for sheriffs and regional jail superintendents is 1.85%. The retirement multiplier for eligible political subdivision hazardous duty employees other than sheriffs and jail superintendents is 1.70% or 1.85% as elected by the employer. At retirement, members can elect the Basic Benefit, the Survivor Option, a Partial Lump-Sum Option Payment (PLOP) or the Advance Pension Option. A retirement reduction factor is applied to the Basic Benefit amount for members electing the Survivor Option, PLOP or Advance Pension Option or those retiring with a reduced benefit.

Retirees are eligible for an annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) effective July 1 of the second calendar year of retirement. Under Plan 1, the COLA cannot exceed 5.00%; under Plan 2, the COLA cannot exceed 6.00%. During years of no inflation or deflation, the COLA is 0.00%. The VRS also provides death and disability benefits. Title 51.1 of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended, assigns the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to the General Assembly of Virginia.

The System issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for VRS. A copy of the report may be obtained from the VRS website at <http://www.varetire.org/Pdf/Publications/2010-Annual-Report.pdf> or by writing to the System's Chief Financial Officer at P.O. Box 2500, Richmond, Virginia 23218-2500.

### **Funding Policy**

Plan members are required by Title 51.1 of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended, to contribute 5% of their compensation toward their retirement. All or part of the 5% member contribution may be assumed by the employer. In addition, the Commission is required to contribute the remaining amounts necessary to fund its participation in the VRS using the actuarial basis specified by the Code of Virginia and approved by the VRS Board of Trustees. The Commission's contribution rate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, was 4.9% of annual covered payroll (9.9% - total employee and employer contributions).

### **Annual Pension Cost**

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Commission's annual pension costs of \$291,655 and \$219,699, respectively, for VRS were equal to the required and actual contributions.

#### **Three-Year Trend Information for Hampton Roads Planning District Commission**

<b>Fiscal Year Ended</b>	<b>Annual Required Contribution (ARC)</b>	<b>Percentage of ARC Cost Contributed</b>	<b>Net Pension Obligation</b>
6/30/09	\$ 275,746	100%	\$ -
6/30/10	\$ 219,699	100%	\$ -
6/30/11	\$ 291,655	100%	\$ -

The FY 2011 required contribution was determined as part of the June 30, 2009, actuarial valuation using the entry age actuarial cost method. The actuarial assumptions at June 30, 2009 included (a) an investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses) of 7.5%, (b) projected salary increases ranging from 3.75% to 5.60% per year for general government employees and 3.50% to 4.75% per year for employees eligible for enhanced benefits available to law enforcement officers, firefighters, and sheriffs, and (c) a cost-of-living adjustment of 2.50% per year. Both the investment rate of return and the projected salary increases also include an inflation component of 2.50%. The actuarial value of the Commission's assets is equal to the modified market value of assets. This method uses techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in the market value of assets over a five-year period. The Commission's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as level percentage of projected payroll on an open basis. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2009 for the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) was 20 years.

### **Funding Status and Funding Progress**

As of June 30, 2010, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was 84.99% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$13,157,357 and the actuarial value of assets was \$11,182,055, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$1,975,302. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$2,883,251, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 68.51%.

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplemental information (RSI) following the notes to the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of the plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) for benefits.

**Schedule of Funding Progress for Hampton Roads Planning District Commission**

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
6/30/08	\$ 11,498,247	\$ 11,285,687	\$ (212,560)	101.88%	\$ 2,665,122	(7.98)%
6/30/09	\$ 11,352,827	\$ 12,098,963	\$ 746,136	93.83%	\$ 2,843,723	26.24%
6/30/10	\$ 11,182,055	\$ 13,157,357	\$ 1,975,302	84.99%	\$ 2,883,251	68.51%

The information presented in the Schedules of Employee Contributions and Funding Progress was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

1. Valuation date	June 30, 2010
2. Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal
3. Amortization method	Level Percent of Pay, Open
4. Payroll growth rate	3.00%
5. Remaining amortization period	20 years
6. Asset valuation method	Five-Year Smoothed Market Value
7. Actuarial assumptions:	
a. Investment rate of return *	7.00%
b. Projected salary increases *	
1) Non – LEO Members	3.75% to 5.60%
2) LEO Members	3.50% to 4.75%
c. Cost-of-living adjustment	2.50%

\* Includes inflation of 2.50%

**Deferred Compensation Plan**

The Commission has a deferred compensation plan under which the participants may defer a portion of their annual compensation subject to limitations of Internal Revenue Code Section 457. Any contributions made to the deferred compensation plan are not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. Contributions to the plan are administrated by a third party administrator, ICMA Retirement Corporation.

**6. Leases**

The Commission entered into a three-year lease for office space in Hampton commencing March 2005. The lease agreement required monthly payments of \$944 through February 28, 2007, with an annual increase of 3% on March 1 of each year through February 28, 2008. This lease was renewed for a period of five years commencing March 2008. The new lease agreement requires monthly payments of \$1,002 through February 28, 2009, with an annual increase of 3% on March 1 of each year through February 28, 2013. Total rent expense for 2011 and 2010 was \$14,055 and \$13,810 respectively.

Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

2012	\$ 13,275
2013	\$ 9,024

7. **Compensated Absences**

The Commission accrues for vested vacation and sick pay when it is earned by employees. Vacation and sick pay are earned based on years of employment. The amount of vested vacation and sick pay accrued was \$582,805 and \$547,810 for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

8. **Net Assets**

Unrestricted-commission designated net assets are available for the following purposes:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
HR WET Info (330)	\$ 46,783	\$ 62,140
DCR Bay Grant (333)	(989)	-
Northern Virginia Regional Commission (33290)	-	42
DEQ Contracts (334)	(62,844)	(62,338)
Local Government Contracts (336)	105,918	335,182
Regional Water (H2O) (337)	468,042	462,322
Stormwater (338)	350,428	339,681
Regional Wastewater Program (348)	285,771	135,616
Corps of Engineers Contracts (349)	590	4,902
Metro Medical Response (350)	(124,203)	(293,725)
HRLFP Admin	(8,600)	(19,331)
Agency funded	10,025	301,218
Municipal Construction Std (391)	(722)	11,525
UASI (39120)	-	(171,537)
UASI II (39125)	(137,089)	(108,713)
VDEM (39126)	(44,032)	-
VDEM (39127)	(39,351)	-
VDEM (39128)	-	(4,070)
VDEM (39130)	-	(18,785)
VDEM (39135)	(15,696)	(5,481)
VDEM (39136)	(82,904)	-
Special Contracts Local (39200)	20,948	70,560
Vehicle replacement reserve (39501)	10,000	5,000
Telephone system replacement reserve (39502)	14,000	7,000
Network servers/software reserve (39503)	20,000	10,000
Capital building replacement reserve (39504)	99,457	50,000
Building operations and maintenance reserve (39505)	9,745	5,000
Interior upgrades reserve (39506)	1,656	3,000
Communication devices soft synch reserve (39507)	2,000	1,000
Hampton recovery center reserve (39508)	10,000	5,000
VRS/VRSLI reserve (39509)	400,000	200,000
	<u>\$ 1,338,933</u>	<u>\$ 1,325,208</u>

Negative balances represent restricted expenditures already made by the Commission for which grant reimbursement has not yet been received. Such grants reimburse only quarterly or semi-annually.

## 9. Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

The Commission adopted Government Auditing Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The Statement establishes standards for reporting the liability for non-pension postemployment benefits, the health care premiums for retirees.

### (a) *Plan Provisions and Benefits*

In addition to providing the pension benefits described in Note 5, the Commission provides other postemployment benefits (OPEB) for retired employees and their spouses and dependents. The plan's benefit levels and employer contributions are governed by the Commission and can be amended by the Commission through its Personnel and Budget Committee. The Plan provides for healthcare insurance coverage for eligible retirees and their spouses and dependents. Membership in the plan at June 30, 2011 consisted of 43 active members with total active covered payroll of \$3,054,700 and 9 retirees and 8 spouses.

### (b) *Plan Description*

Covered full-time active employees who retire directly from the Commission with at least 20 years of service are eligible to receive postretirement health care benefits. Non-Medicare (under age 65) and Medicare eligible (age 65+) retirees and their spouses and dependents are covered with the Commission contributing 100% of the cost of participation in Anthem (PPO) or Advantage 65 (PPO) health insurance plans depending upon the retiree's Medicare eligibility.

### (c) *Funding Policy*

The Commission pays the full cost of coverage for healthcare benefits for qualified retirees and their spouses and dependents. The Commission has chosen to fund the healthcare benefits on a pay as you go basis, so the plan has no assets.

The current annual required contribution of the employer (ARC) is 6.8% of covered payroll. For 2011, the Commission contributed \$49,968 or approximately 1.6% of covered payroll.

### (d) *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*

No funds are set aside to pay benefits and administrative costs. These expenses are paid as they come due.

### (e) *Annual OPEB Costs and Net OPEB Obligation*

The Commission's annual OPEB cost (expense) is calculated based on the ARC, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. Due to the plan's policy of not funding the ARC, there are still 30 years remaining in the amortization period as of June 30, 2011. The following table shows the components of the Commission's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the Commission's net OPEB obligation for the healthcare benefits:

Annual required contribution	\$ 207,600
Interest on net OPEB obligation	11,198
Adjustments to annual required contribution	<u>(10,047)</u>
<b>Annual OPEB cost</b>	<b>208,751</b>
Contributions made	<u>49,968</u>
<b>Increase in net OPEB obligation</b>	<b>158,783</b>
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	<u>279,948</u>
<b>Net OPEB obligation, end of year</b>	<b><u>\$ 438,731</u></b>

The Commission's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation as of June 30, 2011 were as follows:

<b>For Year Ended June 30</b>	<b>Annual OPEB Cost</b>	<b>Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed</b>	<b>Net OPEB Obligation</b>
2011	\$ 208,751	23.9%	\$ 49,968
2010	\$ 201,100	30.4%	\$ 61,100
2009	\$ 201,100	30.4%	\$ 61,152

**(f) Funded Status and Funding Progress**

As of June 30, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was not funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits and, thus, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) was \$2,368,700. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$3,054,000, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 77.54 percent. Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and healthcare trends. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer and subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

**(g) Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members at that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the June 30, 2011 actuarial valuation, the projected unit credit actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included 7.5% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), which is the expected long-term investment returns on the employer's own investments calculated based on the funded level of the plan at the valuation date, and an annual health cost trend assumption utilizing the Getzen Trend Model – 6.3% graded to 4.70% over 80 years. The investment rate included a 3.75% payroll growth assumption. The UAAL is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll on an open basis. The remaining amortization period at June 30, 2011, was 30 years since the plan is not funded.

\* \* \* \* \*



***Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on  
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements  
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards***

Board of Directors  
***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission***

We have audited the basic financial statements of ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*** as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated September 26, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

***Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

In planning and performing our audit, we considered ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

***Compliance and Other Matters***

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we report to management of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission* in a separate letter dated September 26, 2011.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the Commission, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specific parties.

*Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP*

Norfolk, Virginia  
September 26, 2011



**DIXON HUGHES GOODMAN** LLP  
Certified Public Accountants and Advisors

***Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could  
Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program  
and on Internal Control Over Compliance in  
Accordance with OMB Circular A-133***

Board of Directors  
***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission***

***Compliance***

We have audited ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and OMB Circular A-133. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's*** compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, ***Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*** complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.

### *Internal Control over Compliance*

Management of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission* is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's* internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of *Hampton Roads Planning District Commission's* internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, Board of Directors, others within the Commission, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

*Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP*

Norfolk, Virginia  
September 26, 2011

*Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

*Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards*

**Year Ended June 30, 2011**

Federal Granting Agency/Recipient State Agency/Grant Program/Grant Number	Federal	Expenditures
<b>Major Programs</b>		
Department of Transportation		
Federal Highway Administration		
Pass-through payments - Virginia Department of Transportation		
PL Federal Aid Urban Systems (FAUS) Program	20.205	\$ 1,999,107
SP&R Federal Aid Urban Systems (FAUS)	20.205	26,753
		<u>2,025,860</u> *
<b>Other Federal Awards</b>		
Department of Transportation		
Federal Transit Administration		
Pass-through payments - Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transit		
Technical Study Grant (includes \$274,636 in pass-through expenditures)	20.505	348,946
		<u>2,374,806</u> *
Department of Homeland Security - Homeland Security Cluster		
Pass-through payments - Virginia Department of Emergency Management:		
Urban Areas Security Initiative II	97.008	762,051
Urban Areas Security Initiative	97.067	956,526
Metropolitan Medical Response System	97.071	840,443
Critical Infrastructure Protection and Resiliency Strategic Plan	97.073	435,803
First Responders Authentication Credentials	97.073	440,327
		<u>3,435,150</u>
Department of Homeland Security		
Pass-through payments - Virginia Department of Emergency Management:		
South Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan Grant	97.047	55,585
Franklin & Southampton Hazard Mitigation Plan Grant	97.047	27,319
		<u>82,904</u>
		<u>3,518,054</u> *
Department of Commerce		
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration		
Pass Through Payments - Virginia Department of Environmental Quality		
Coastal Resources Management (NA-09NOS4190163)	11.419	78,765
Coastal Resources Management (NA10NOS4190205)	11.419	48,989
		<u>127,754</u>
Environmental Protection Agency - Pass-through payments		
TMDL Studies contract #14255	66.454	23,701
Environmental Protection Agency - Pass-through payments		
Virginia Chesapeake Bay Implementation Program (BAY-2010-06-PT)	66.466	990
Virginia Chesapeake Bay Implementation Program (BAY-2009-11-PT)	66.466	6,107
		<u>30,798</u>
		<u>158,552</u>
<b>Total Federal Awards</b>		<u>\$ 6,051,412</u>

\* Type A programs. All other programs are Type B.

**Note 1 - Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Commission and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.

*Hampton Roads Planning District Commission*

*Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs*

---

**Year Ended June 30, 2011**

---

**1. Summary of Auditors' Results**

**Financial Statements**

- An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting:

- There were no material weaknesses identified.
- There were no significant deficiencies identified.
- The audit did not disclose any material noncompliance.

**Federal Awards**

- There were no material weaknesses identified.
- There were no significant deficiencies identified.
- An unqualified opinion was issued on compliance for major programs.
- The audit did not disclose any audit findings required to be reported.
- The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs is \$300,000.
- The auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee.

**2. Findings Relating to the Financial Statements which are Required to be Reported in Accordance with GAGAS**

None

**3. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards**

None

**4. Disposition of Prior Year Findings**

None